

# **UCLA**

## **UCLA Previously Published Works**

### **Title**

Human infections associated with wild birds.

### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9xv1w7xm>

### **Journal**

The Journal of infection, 56(2)

### **ISSN**

0163-4453

### **Authors**

Tsiodras, Sotirios  
Kelesidis, Theodoros  
Kelesidis, Iosif  
et al.

### **Publication Date**

2008-02-01

### **DOI**

10.1016/j.jinf.2007.11.001

Peer reviewed



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



ELSEVIER



www.elsevierhealth.com/journals/jinf

REVIEW

# Human infections associated with wild birds

Sotirios Tsiodras<sup>a,\*</sup>, Theodoros Kelesidis<sup>b,g</sup>, Iosif Kelesidis<sup>b</sup>,  
Ulf Bauchinger<sup>c,f</sup>, Matthew E. Falagas<sup>d,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Athens Medical School, 1 Rimini Street, Xaidari, 12462 Athens, Greece

<sup>b</sup> Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard University Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>c</sup> University of Munich (LMU), Planegg-Martinsried, Germany

<sup>d</sup> Alfa Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Athens, Greece

<sup>e</sup> Department of Medicine, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>f</sup> Mitrani Department of Desert Ecology, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Ben-Gurion, Israel

Accepted 1 November 2007

Available online 21 December 2007

## KEYWORDS

Communicable diseases;  
Avian infection;  
Wild birds;  
Infectious diseases;  
Influenza;  
Lyme disease;  
Arbovirus;  
West Nile encephalitis;  
Enteric infection;  
Antimicrobial resistance

**Summary** *Introduction:* Wild birds and especially migratory species can become long-distance vectors for a wide range of microorganisms. The objective of the current paper is to summarize available literature on pathogens causing human disease that have been associated with wild bird species.

*Methods:* A systematic literature search was performed to identify specific pathogens known to be associated with wild and migratory birds. The evidence for direct transmission of an avian borne pathogen to a human was assessed. Transmission to humans was classified as direct if there is published evidence for such transmission from the avian species to a person or indirect if the transmission requires a vector other than the avian species.

*Results:* Several wild and migratory birds serve as reservoirs and/or mechanical vectors (simply carrying a pathogen or dispersing infected arthropod vectors) for numerous infectious agents. An association with transmission from birds to humans was identified for 10 pathogens. Wild birds including migratory species may play a significant role in the epidemiology of influenza A virus, arboviruses such as West Nile virus and enteric bacterial pathogens. Nevertheless only one case of direct transmission from wild birds to humans was found.

*Conclusion:* The available evidence suggests wild birds play a limited role in human infectious diseases. Direct transmission of an infectious agent from wild birds to humans is rarely identified. Potential factors and mechanisms involved in the transmission of infectious agents from birds to humans need further elucidation.

© 2007 The British Infection Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +30 210 5831989, +30 6932 665820; fax: +30 210 5326446.

E-mail address: [tsiodras@med.uoa.gr](mailto:tsiodras@med.uoa.gr) (S. Tsiodras).

<sup>g</sup> The first two authors contributed equally to this work.

## Introduction

Free-living birds, including migratory species, can become long-distance vectors for a wide range of microorganisms that can be transmissible to humans.<sup>1</sup> This creates the potential for establishment of novel foci of emerging or re-emerging communicable diseases along bird migration routes.<sup>2</sup> Certain pathogens are more often isolated in migratory birds in comparison to other animal species<sup>3,4</sup> and the potential for transport and dissemination of these pathogens by wild birds is of increasing public health concern stimulated by the recent spread of diseases like highly pathogenic *Avian influenza A* (HPAI H5N1 Asian lineage) and *West Nile virus* (WNV) infection.<sup>3,5</sup> *Avian influenza A* (HPAI H5N1 Asian lineage) and *West Nile virus* infection, well known to affect birds for decades, have been recently observed to affect areas far away from the locations where they were originally identified, generating the hypothesis that migratory birds transported these pathogens to new geographical locations.<sup>6</sup> However as is the case with the highly pathogenic avian influenza, scientific data do not always support such hypotheses.<sup>7</sup> Several factors affecting wild bird species including migratory species such as increasing stress levels and crowding potentially promote infectious disease transmission among birds but available data supporting this are scarce or non-existent.

The objective of this paper is to summarize available literature on pathogens causing human disease that have been associated with wild birds including wild migratory bird species. Although wild bird borne infections can occur at any spatial scale, from very localized, to short and long distance, from an epidemiologic point of view the transmission of pathogens from wild birds to humans over a long distance is most important. Therefore, in the current manuscript we focused more on the role of wild migratory birds in the spread of certain pathogens. The paper focuses on available evidence of transmission of avian borne pathogens to humans. We speculated that such evidence would originate from enhanced animal and human surveillance and the application of advanced molecular diagnostic testing during the recent years. Furthermore, we attempted to identify factors potentially contributing to such transmission from the available body of science.

## Methods

Two reviewers (TK and IK) independently performed the literature search. The following terms were used in searches of the PubMed database: "wild birds", "migratory birds", "infection", and specific pathogens known to be associated with wild and migratory birds e.g. "*West Nile virus*", "*avian influenza*", "*influenza A*", "Lyme disease" and "arbovirus". We also screened articles related to the initially identified publications to expand our data sources. Despite the availability of scientific data on this issue even before 1966<sup>8,9</sup> we focused in the modern area where molecular diagnostics might enhance our ability to study such interactions between birds and humans. Similar searches were conducted for each individual migratory

bird species identified through a list provided by the Royal Ornithological Society of Great Britain and World bird databases (Avibase World List).<sup>10,11</sup> We also used the widely used Sibley and Monroe Classification for birds.<sup>12,13</sup> To evaluate the role of recent diagnostic developments, we also performed an additional search of the literature by using the term polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and "migratory birds". Additional epidemiologic information for the identified pathogens-diseases was obtained from the websites of the United States Centers for Disease Control (CDC), World Health Organization (WHO), FAO, and OIE.<sup>14,15</sup>

## Study selection and data extraction

The role of wild and migratory birds in the transmission of an infectious disease to humans was discussed in consensus meetings where all authors participated. Transmission to humans was classified as *direct* if there was evidence for direct transmission of the pathogen from the avian species to humans through direct contact with an infected bird and genetic/serological evidence of the presence of a particular pathogen in both the avian species and humans. Transmission to humans was classified as *indirect* if there was evidence for transmission of the pathogen from the avian species to humans through indirect contact with an infected bird and genetic/serological evidence of the presence of the particular pathogen in both the avian species and humans. We considered indirect ways of transmission, those through contaminated water from feces of waterfowls and through vectors that are carried by wild birds such as mosquitoes and ticks (Table 1). Finally, we classified pathogens to be associated with a "*theoretical risk for transmission*" when in the literature there were reports that these pathogens were isolated both from humans and wild birds, using microbiological, genetic or serological methods, but there were no reports of actual direct/indirect transmission of these pathogens from wild birds to humans. Despite the lack of actual evidence in such cases, the risk exists in theory e.g. through ingestion of water contaminated from feces of wild birds or exposure to inanimate surfaces contaminated by bird secretions or droppings.

Compiled relevant bird species data (with formal avian family names) are presented in the appendix. This appendix further includes data on pathogens that are borne by wild avian species that have not yet been associated with human infection in published reports.

## Results

### Evidence for direct transmission

The systematic review of the literature review identified no real evidence for direct wild bird to human transmission with the only exception being the cluster of H5N1 human cases in Azerbaijan where the affected patients were plucking feathers from mute swans that had succumbed to H5N1 infection.<sup>16</sup>

**Table 1** Pathogens that have been reported to be indirectly transmitted from wild birds including migratory species to humans

Microorganism(s)	Reported transmission to human (indirect transmission) ( <i>n</i> = 10)	Migratory bird species (formal family names for each bird species can be found in the <a href="#">appendix</a> )	Geographic area
<b>(I) Bacteria</b>			
Chlamydiaceae <i>Chlamydophila psittaci</i>	Ornithosis <sup>17–22</sup>	Egrets ( <i>Ardea Alba</i> ), grackles ( <i>Quiscalus</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), migratory waterfowl species ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), pigeons ( <i>Columbidae</i> ), psittacine birds ( <i>Psittaciformes</i> ), raptors (North American raptors), shorebirds (North American shorebirds), wild ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), and others	Worldwide
Enterobacteriaceae <i>Escherichia coli</i>	Bloody diarrhea [ <i>Vero cytotoxin</i> -producing <i>E. coli</i> O157, <i>Shiga toxin stx2f</i> -containing <i>E. coli</i> O128 strain] <sup>23,24,25,26</sup>	Finches ( <i>Fringillidae</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), pigeons ( <i>Columbidae</i> ), sparrows ( <i>Passeridae</i> ), starlings ( <i>Sturnidae</i> )	Worldwide
<i>Salmonella</i> ( <i>enterica typhimurium</i> )	Salmonellosis (enteritis) <sup>27–30</sup>	Wild crows ( <i>Corvidae</i> ), ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), raptorial birds (North American raptors), songbirds ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), terns ( <i>Sternidae</i> ), waterfowls ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	Worldwide
Mycobacteriaceae <i>Mycobacterium</i> ( <i>avium</i> , <i>ulcerans</i> )	Regarding <i>M. avium</i> it is generally believed and occasionally reported that man (especially immunocompromised, elderly) can contract the disease from birds, but this has not been fully clarified. <sup>31–33</sup> Possible transmission of <i>M. ulcerans</i> to humans through contaminated water from feces of waterfowls ( <i>Anatidae</i> ) <sup>34</sup>	Crows ( <i>Corvidae</i> ), raptors (North American raptors), rooks ( <i>Corvus frugilegus</i> ), wild ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), wild pigeons ( <i>Columbidae</i> )	Worldwide
Spirochaetaceae <i>Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato</i> genomic species	Lyme disease <sup>30,35–41</sup>	American Robins ( <i>Turdus migratorius</i> ), cardinals, songbirds ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), sparrows ( <i>Passeridae</i> ), thrushes ( <i>Turdidae</i> ) and other ground foraging birds, waterfowl ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	North America, Europe
<b>(II) Fungi</b>			
Cryptococcus	Yes (wild pigeons) <sup>42–46</sup>	Psittacine birds ( <i>Psittaciformes</i> ), starling ( <i>Sturnidae</i> ), wild pigeons ( <i>Columbidae</i> )	Europe, South America, Asia
<b>(III) Viruses</b>			
Flaviviridae <i>West Nile virus</i>	Yes <sup>3,30,47–49</sup>	North American shorebirds, common grackles ( <i>Quiscalus quiscula</i> ), doves, hawks, house finches ( <i>Carpodacus mexicanus</i> ), and house sparrows ( <i>Passer domesticus</i> ), songbirds ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), raptors (North American raptors), owls ( <i>Strigidae</i> ), and various corvids (crows, jays, <i>Corvidae</i> )	Africa Europe, Asia, America

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Microorganism(s)	Reported transmission to human (indirect transmission) ( $n = 10$ )	Migratory bird species (formal family names for each bird species can be found in the <a href="#">appendix</a> )	Geographic area
<i>St. Louis encephalitis virus</i> (SLEV)	Yes <sup>3,49–51</sup>	North American shorebirds, common grackles ( <i>Quiscalus</i> ), doves, hawks, house finches ( <i>Carpodacus mexicanus</i> ), and house sparrows ( <i>Passer domesticus</i> ), songbirds ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), owls ( <i>Strigidae</i> ), and various corvids (crows, jays, magpies)	America
<i>Western Equine Encephalitis virus</i> (WEEV)	Yes <sup>49</sup>	North American shorebirds, quails ( <i>Coturnix</i> )	America
Orthomyxoviridae <i>Influenza A virus</i>	<p>To date, only domestic poultry are known to have played a major role in the transmission cycle of the H5N1 virus from animals to humans.<sup>52</sup> However, there is also the potential contribution of other hosts like carnivores e.g cats to both virus transmission and adaptation to mammals.<sup>53,54</sup> Dead or moribund cats were found to be infected with H5N1 virus soon after the virus was detected in wild birds in Germany.<sup>53</sup> This suggests that H5N1 virus can be transmitted from wild birds to cats<sup>53</sup> whereas in another report avian influenza A virus subtype H5N1 was transmitted to domestic cats by close contact with infected birds.<sup>54</sup> However, there has been no documented case with wild migratory bird to human transmission although the theoretical risk exists.<sup>55</sup></p> <p>Serologic evidence of avian influenza infection in 1 duck hunter and 2 wildlife professionals with extensive histories of wild waterfowl (<i>Anatidae</i>) and game bird exposure has been reported.<sup>56</sup></p> <p>There is an association (not necessarily causal) between recreational contact with H5N1 contaminated water and the onset of confirmed human H5N1 disease in 3 cases.<sup>53,57,58</sup> In one of these cases asymptomatic ducks may have shed virus into the pond.<sup>53</sup></p> <p>Possible direct transmission of highly pathogenic avian influenza in family cluster in Azerbaijan.<sup>16</sup> Occupational exposure to avian species may increase veterinarians' risk of avian influenza virus infection.<sup>59</sup></p> <p>Transmission can cause: Respiratory infection, keratoconjunctivitis, diarrhea, encephalitis<sup>30,60–66</sup></p>	Dabbling ducks (e.g common Mallard- <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> ), geese ( <i>Anserinae</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), swans ( <i>Cygninae</i> ), guillemots ( <i>Uria aalge</i> ), mountain hawk eagles ( <i>Spizaetus nipalensis</i> ) North American Blue-winged Teal ( <i>Spatula discors</i> ), shearwaters ( <i>Procellariidae</i> ), terns ( <i>Sternidae</i> ). Wild aquatic birds are regarded as the principal reservoir of influenza viruses, and migrating ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ) disseminate influenza viruses worldwide	Worldwide

## Evidence for indirect transmission or a theoretical risk for transmission

Although a large number of avian borne pathogens have been identified in the literature, we found relatively scarce evidence for indirect transmission of avian borne pathogens to humans (Table 1). Unfortunately, in the vast majority of the reports reviewed herein, data were unavailable to further characterize the way of transmission of certain pathogens beyond the stage of a speculative argument. This would be expected for zoonoses which usually require amplification in an animal species cycle before spill-over to humans. Nevertheless and based on our criteria several avian borne bacterial, fungal, viral pathogens could be indirectly transmitted or associated with a theoretical risk for transmission to humans (Table 1). We identified 58 such pathogens for which wild birds can serve as reservoirs, mechanical vectors, or both (Tables 1 and 2). However, the paucity of available data did not allow us to make the distinction whether the involved species serve as reservoir or vector in most of the cases.

Scarce microbiological, serological and epidemiological data supported indirect transmission from wild birds to human for 10 of these pathogens (Table 1). Application of advanced molecular diagnostic testing during the recent years has led to the isolation of these microbial agents known to affect humans in birds. The examples include bacterial spp. like *Escherichia coli*,<sup>24,25</sup> *Borrelia burgdorferi*,<sup>37</sup> *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*,<sup>87</sup> *Salmonella typhimurium*,<sup>28</sup> *Campylobacter* spp.,<sup>79</sup> and *Mycobacterium* spp.,<sup>31–33</sup> viruses like *Influenza* virus,<sup>56,60,61,64,65</sup> *West Nile* virus,<sup>126</sup> *St. Louis encephalitis virus*,<sup>3,50,51</sup> and *Western Equine Encephalitis virus*,<sup>49</sup> and fungi like *Cryptococcus* spp.,<sup>43,44,46</sup> These have been isolated from many wild birds using standard serological<sup>3,30,47,48,50,51,56,60,61,64,65,79</sup> and microbiological techniques.<sup>28,31–33,37,43,44,46,79,126,127</sup> Moreover vectors with the ability to carry pathogens have also been isolated from wild birds.<sup>3,37,85,87</sup> For example, ornithophilic mosquitoes and ticks are the principal vectors of pathogens like *West Nile* virus in the Old World, and *B. burgdorferi*, respectively, and birds of several species, chiefly migrants, appear to be the major introductory or amplifying hosts of these vectors.<sup>3,37,85,87</sup>

Methods that have been used to confirm association of microbial agents isolated from wild birds with infection in humans include molecular methods like sequence analysis for *Ehrlichia*<sup>85</sup> and *Mycobacterium* species,<sup>32,33</sup> phylogenetic analysis,<sup>25</sup> pulsed-field gel electrophoresis,<sup>26</sup> polymerase chain reaction,<sup>26</sup> immunomagnetic separation (IMS) for *E. coli*,<sup>25,26</sup> serological methods for influenza virus<sup>56,59</sup> and psittacosis,<sup>17</sup> and epidemiological methods for *Salmonella* spp.,<sup>28,29</sup> *Borrelia* spp.,<sup>36</sup> *West Nile* virus,<sup>30,48,49,126</sup> *St. Louis encephalitis virus*,<sup>49,51</sup> and *Western Equine Encephalitis virus*.<sup>49</sup>

However, in most scientific literature, there is no detailed data regarding the detection and characterization of pathogens and their relation to wild birds. In most of the cases, it seems that wild birds serve as vectors of the pathogen. In these cases, the indirect role of wild birds in transmission of the infectious agents can be only speculated and the implicated pathogens are classified as having

the theoretical risk of transmission from wild birds to humans (Table 2).

Twenty-one wild avian family species were identified that are reservoirs, mechanical vectors or both for infectious agents that may affect humans (Listed with their formal family names in the appendix according to the Sibley and Monroe Classification for birds). A short description of pathogens that may be transmitted from wild birds to humans is outlined below.

## Types of microorganisms carried by wild birds that could affect humans (indirect transmission or theoretical risk)

### Bacteria

A range of bacterial pathogens affecting humans has been associated with wild and migratory birds. An indirect transmission to humans has been reported for some of these such as the enteric pathogens *E. coli*<sup>24</sup> and *Salmonella* spp.<sup>28,29</sup> Tick-borne pathogens such as *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato* species have been also associated with human infection from wild migratory birds.<sup>35–38,85,87</sup> A theoretical risk for transmission to humans has been reported for other bacterial pathogens such as *Yersinia* spp.,<sup>76,128</sup> *Campylobacter jejuni*<sup>77</sup> and both cholera and non-cholera *Vibrio* spp.<sup>92</sup>

### Fungi

Yeasts and yeast-like fungi have been isolated from wild and migratory birds such as *Candida* spp.,<sup>129,130</sup> and hyphomycetes e.g. *Aspergillus* spp., *Microsporium* spp., *Trichophyton* spp.,<sup>112</sup> and cryptococci.<sup>43</sup> A theoretical risk for transmission to humans exists but scientific data to support this are extremely scarce. Cryptococci that are quite ubiquitous in nature have been reported to be transmitted to humans indirectly from wild pigeons (*Columbidae*), occasionally causing clinical infection, especially in immunocompromised patients.<sup>42</sup>

### Viruses

Important viral species have been isolated from wild migratory birds and can affect humans indirectly including influenza A viruses,<sup>62,131</sup> the *West Nile virus* (WNV),<sup>3,47</sup> the *St. Louis encephalitis virus* (SLEV).<sup>3,50,51</sup> Several other viral species can theoretically be transmitted from wild birds to humans (Table 2).

### Parasites

Wild and migratory birds can disperse in nature a diverse number of protozoa such as *Babesia* and other haemoparasites. The potential for transmission exists for some parasitic species (Table 2).

## Factors potentially contributing in transmission

The issue of the transmissibility of various pathogens from wild birds including migratory species to humans is fairly complex. Several factors determine the possibility of such a spread. Some factors relate to the affected species including the birds themselves (e.g. the avian species involved, susceptible local vertebrate recipients or



**Table 2** Pathogens with theoretical risk for transmission (but no reports of actual direct/indirect transmission) from wild birds including migratory species to humans

Microorganism(s)	Potential for transmission to humans exists ( $n = 50$ )	Migratory bird species	Geographic area
<b>(I) Bacteria</b>			
Gram-positive cocci			
<i>Enterococcus</i>	Possible spread through polluted water <sup>67,68</sup> ; transmission has been reported from other birds <sup>69–71</sup>	Ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), seagulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), waterfowls ( <i>Anatidae</i> ) and other migratory birds such as quails ( <i>Coturnix</i> )	Worldwide
<i>Staphylococcus</i>	Possible through faecal pollution of environmental water samples <sup>72</sup>	Ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), mallards ( <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> ), passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), seagulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), and other migratory birds including quails ( <i>Coturnix</i> ), raptors (North American raptors)	Worldwide
Gram-positive rods			
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	Possible through accidental ingestion of contaminated water <sup>73</sup> ; food-borne enteritis has been reported from non-migratory birds <sup>74</sup>	Crows ( <i>Corvidae</i> ), ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), Pelicans ( <i>Pelecanus</i> ) and marine birds, raptors (North American raptors), shorebirds (North American shorebirds), waterfowls ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	Europe, Asia
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	Possible through accidental ingestion of contaminated water <sup>75</sup>	Crows ( <i>corvus</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), rooks ( <i>Corvus frugilegus</i> ) and other migratory birds	America, Asia
Enterobacteriaceae	Enteritis <sup>30,76</sup>	Crows ( <i>corvus</i> ), ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), magpies, ( <i>Corvidae</i> ) pigeons ( <i>Columbidae</i> ), pheasants, starlings ( <i>Sturnidae</i> ), terns ( <i>Sternidae</i> ), wagtails ( <i>Motacilla</i> ), waterfowls ( <i>Anatidae</i> ) and other migratory species	Worldwide
<i>Yersinia</i> species			
Campylobacteraceae			
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	Intestinal campylobacteriosis. <sup>30,77,78</sup> Whether waterfowl ( <i>Anatidae</i> ) have a role in the dissemination of <i>Campylobacter</i> spp. that results in increased human disease is likely to be elucidated through development and greater use of typing methods. <sup>79</sup> Typing might allow links to be established between isolates of avian, environmental, and human origin. <sup>79</sup>	Migrating ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), passerine birds e.g. crows ( <i>corvus</i> ), pigeons ( <i>Columbidae</i> ) and seagulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), sparrows ( <i>Passeridae</i> )	Europe, North America, Asia
<i>Helicobacter</i> spp.	Enteritis ( <i>Helicobacter canadensis</i> ). <sup>80,81</sup> Possible transmission of <i>H. pylori</i> by contaminated water from feces of waterfowls ( <i>Anatidae</i> ) <sup>82</sup>	Geese ( <i>Anserinae</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), terns ( <i>Sternidae</i> ), various wild birds	North America, Europe, Australia
Other gram negative bacilli ( <i>Pseudomonas</i> , <i>Aeromonas</i> , etc.)	Possible through faecal pollution of environmental water samples <sup>72,83</sup>	Geese ( <i>Anserinae</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> )	Worldwide
Anaerobic bacteria	Possible through faecal pollution of environmental water samples e.g. gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ) <sup>84</sup>	Geese ( <i>Anserinae</i> ), seagulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), swans ( <i>Cygninae</i> ), wild ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	Worldwide
Anaplasmataceae	Human granulocytic ehrlichiosis <sup>85–87</sup>	Passerine birds ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ) American Robins ( <i>Turdus migratorius</i> ), robins, songbirds ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ) veery ( <i>Catharus fuscescens</i> ), American warbler	North America, Europe, Asia
<i>Anaplasma phagocytophilum</i>			



<i>Mycobacterium species</i> <i>M. tuberculosis</i>	Tuberculosis. <sup>88</sup> Possible transmission of mycobacterium from humans to birds has been reported through close contact between humans and pet birds but it is not known if humans can acquire the infection from birds. <sup>88</sup>	Green-winged macaw, psittacines ( <i>Psittaciformes</i> ) <sup>88,89</sup>	
Rickettsiaceae <i>Coxiella burnetii</i>	Possible through ticks <sup>90,91</sup>	Pigeons ( <i>Columbidae</i> )	Europe, Asia
Vibrionaceae <i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	Cholera, non-cholera <i>Vibrio</i> infections <sup>92,93</sup>	Wild aquatic birds ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> )	North America
(II) <i>Viruses</i>			
Bunyaviridae <i>Nairoviruses</i> : Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever (CCHF)	Possible transmission through ticks and transmission has been reported for other birds <sup>94,95</sup>	Crows ( <i>Corvidae</i> ), wild aquatic birds ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), rooks, ( <i>Corvus frugilegus</i> )	Europe, Asia, Africa
Coronaviridae <i>Avian infectious bronchitis virus</i> , other coronaviruses	Serological evidence in humans exposed to birds has been reported <sup>96</sup>	Passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), pheasants ( <i>Phasianidae</i> )	Worldwide
Flaviviridae <i>Japanese encephalitis virus</i> (JEV)	Yes <sup>97–99</sup>	Colonial ardeids ( <i>Ardeidae</i> ), herons ( <i>Ardeidae</i> ), marsh birds, quails ( <i>Coturnix</i> )	Worldwide
Other flaviviruses <i>Murray Valley encephalitis virus</i> (MVEV), <i>Usutu virus</i> (USUV)	Yes (MVEV) <sup>100,101</sup> NR (USUV)	Blackbirds ( <i>Turdus merula</i> ), wading birds, crows and magpies ( <i>Corvidae</i> ) (Usutu virus), Pelecaniformes (MVE virus)	
<i>Sindbis virus</i>	Ockelbo disease, <sup>102,103</sup> Pogosta disease, <sup>104</sup> plus possible transmission to humans as migratory birds are hosts of mosquitoes which are vectors for these viruses	Blackbird ( <i>Turdus merula</i> ), carrion crow ( <i>Corvus corone</i> ), passerine birds ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ) wild grouse ( <i>Tetraonidae</i> ), wild ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	
<i>Tick-borne Encephalitis virus</i> (TBE)	Possible through ticks <sup>105–108</sup>	Blackbirds ( <i>Turdus merula</i> ), sandpipers ( <i>Scolopacidae</i> ), wild mallards ( <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> ), wild grouse ( <i>Tetraonidae</i> ), other wild birds	Europe, America
Herpesviridae <i>Anatid herpesvirus 1</i> , ( <i>duck plague virus</i> ), <i>Marek virus</i>	Marek's virus (transported by wild birds) has been associated with multiple sclerosis in humans. <sup>109,110</sup>	Japanese quails ( <i>Coturnix coturnix japonica</i> ), passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), pigeons ( <i>Columbidae</i> ), raptors (North American raptors), wild anseriforms ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), geese ( <i>Anserinae</i> ), swans ( <i>Cygninae</i> )	Europe, Asia, North America, and Africa
Paramyxoviridae <i>Newcastle disease virus</i> (NDV, <i>avian parainfluenza virus 1</i> , <i>paramyxovirus-1</i> )	Serological evidence in humans exposed to migratory birds has been reported. <sup>96</sup> Can cause self-limiting conjunctivitis as occupational exposure to affected poultry	Cormorants ( <i>Phalacrocoracidae</i> ), gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), pelicans ( <i>Pelecanus</i> ), raptors (North American raptors), waterfowls ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	Worldwide

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Microorganism(s)	Potential for transmission to humans exists (n = 50)	Migratory bird species	Geographic area
Other Paramyxoviridae (pneumoviruses)	NR	Gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), waterfowl ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	Europe, Africa, Asia
Picornaviridae			
Egg drop syndrome virus	Possible through faecal pollution of environmental water samples with wildfowl droppings <sup>111,112</sup>	Coots ( <i>Fulica</i> ), grebes ( <i>Podicipedidae</i> ), herring gulls ( <i>Larus argentatus</i> ), migratory ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), owls ( <i>Strigidae</i> ), storks ( <i>Ciconiidae</i> ), swans ( <i>Cygninae</i> )	Worldwide
Foot-and-mouth disease virus	NR but according to some studies birds do not have an important role in the transmission of enteroviruses <sup>113</sup>	House-sparrows ( <i>Passer domesticus</i> ), seagulls ( <i>Laridae</i> ), starlings ( <i>Sturnidae</i> )	Europe
Reoviridae	Not reported but evidence for transmission to mammals <sup>111,114–116</sup>	Wild geese ( <i>Anserinae</i> ), wild woodcocks ( <i>Scolopax</i> )	Asia, Africa, Europe, America
Avian rotavirus, orbivirus and other spp.			
Togaviridae			
Eastern (EEE ) and Western (WEE ) equine encephalitis viruses	Possible through mosquitoes that are vectors for these viruses <sup>117,118</sup>	Cliff swallows ( <i>Petrochelidon pyrrhonota</i> ), finches ( <i>Fringillidae</i> ), American Robins ( <i>Turdus migratorius</i> ), smaller species of Passeriformes, several trans-Gulf migrant starlings ( <i>Sturnidae</i> ), waterbirds ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	America
Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEE)	Possible through mosquitoes that are vectors for these viruses <sup>119,120</sup>	Nestling birds such as Cliff swallows, North American shorebirds, songbirds ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), wild ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	South to Central America
(III) Parasites			
Coccidia ( <i>Eimeria</i> )	Possible through contamination with faecal material <sup>121</sup>	Cranes ( <i>Gruidae</i> ), owls ( <i>Strigidae</i> ), wild pigeons ( <i>Columbidae</i> ), waterfowls ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	North America, Asia, Africa
Cryptosporidium	Has been reported for other non-migratory birds <sup>122</sup>	Cranes ( <i>Gruidae</i> ), exotic seagulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), wild anseriforms: ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), geese ( <i>Anserinae</i> ), swans ( <i>Cygninae</i> ) and wild birds (order <i>Passeriformes</i> , <i>Phasianidae</i> , <i>Fringillidae</i> , and <i>Icteridae</i> ), waterfowl species ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	America, Africa, Asia
Helminths parasites	Possible food-borne through eating small water fish. <sup>123</sup> Cercarial dermatitis (swimmer's itch) due to exposure to marine schistosomes <sup>124</sup>	Gulls ( <i>Larus</i> ), ducks ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), waterfowl species ( <i>Anatidae</i> )	Australia, Europe, Africa, Asia, America
Sarcocystis	Possible through contaminated water <sup>125</sup>	Cowbirds ( <i>Molothrus</i> ), exotic birds, mallards ( <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> ), passerines ( <i>Passeriformes</i> ), wading birds, wild anseriforms ( <i>Anatidae</i> ), geese ( <i>Anserinae</i> ), swans ( <i>Cygninae</i> )	America, Africa, Europe

invertebrate vectors), others to the pathogen itself (e.g. stability of the agent in the environment), and lastly some factors relate to the environment (e.g. temperature, humidity). Studies of certain pathogens like influenza virus illustrate the interaction of factors that limit the transmission and subsequent establishment of an infection in a novel host species and may help us in understanding how and why some pathogens become capable of crossing host species barriers.<sup>132</sup>

#### Factors relating to the implicated pathogen and the affected species

Pathogens associated with wild and migratory birds may be transmitted to humans via several routes. Generation of contaminated aerosols by waterfowl flocks may result in respiratory infections through inhalation of dust or fine water droplets generated from infected bird feces or respiratory secretions in the environment (e.g. Newcastle Disease or chlamydiosis).<sup>30</sup> Birds can contaminate water with feces, nasal discharges, and respiratory secretions (e.g. *influenza A virus*, *Enterobacteriaceae*) resulting in a waterborne human infection after direct contact with aquatic environments.<sup>30</sup> Recently, the European CDC concluded that the bathing risk in the case of waters contaminated with the H5N1 virus cannot be excluded and should be assessed on a case by case basis even though the chance of such an event is highly unlikely.<sup>133</sup> Food-borne infections may result after consumption of infected carcasses of wild birds or raw or undercooked blood, organs, or meat, e.g., WNV, avian influenza A (H5N1), *M. avium*, *Clostridium* spp., *Sarcocystis*, *Frenkelia*.<sup>52,63,134</sup> Infections may lastly result after direct contact with the skin, feathers, external lesions or droppings of infected wild birds (e.g. avian pox, WNV encephalitis, H5N1, mycoplasmal conjunctivitis). A major source of wild bird–human contact is hunting and the cleaning of killed birds. Often birds are field-dressed by hunters with minimal protection bringing them in contact with blood, organs and feces.<sup>30</sup> Serologic evidence of avian influenza infection in hunters and wildlife professionals has been reported.<sup>56</sup> In addition, occupational exposure to avian species (e.g. veterinarians) may increase risk of infections like avian influenza virus infection. Indirect infection may occur through the same routes if wild birds transmit the infection to domestic animals, e.g. poultry or via exposure to inanimate surfaces contaminated by bird secretions or droppings. Transfer of infected material can happen with shoes, clothing or other inanimate objects.

Wild birds when serving as reservoirs exhibit multiplication of the pathogen within their organism. Aggregations of bird species that occur during certain periods within the avian annual cycle may enable transmission of pathogens between individuals. Extreme examples for such aggregations can be found at moulting and staging areas of eared grebes *Podiceps nigricollis*,<sup>135,136</sup> at roosting sites for European starlings *Sturnus vulgaris*, at landbridges between continents (e.g. Gibraltar, Bosphorus) widely used by soaring and gliding species like larger birds of prey and white storks *Ciconia ciconia* and at breeding sites of many seabirds. In terms of numbers, the vast amount of migratory birds do migrate solitarily in 'broad front' and therefore do not encounter an increased risk of pathogen transmission, while

some species travel hundreds to thousands of kilometres from their breeding grounds and re-fuel at distinct stopover sites.<sup>137</sup> These "staging areas" provide the opportunity for close intermingling of species that are otherwise widely separated during the major part of the year.<sup>35,138</sup> Thus, the theoretical opportunity for exchange of pathogens is increased among avian species, which make use of the same stopover sites. In such instances duration and concentration of the agent in the blood or the gastrointestinal tract of migrating birds are important for the subsequent infection of another competent vector that feeds or gets exposed in crowding situations or during stopover e.g. a tick. Several studies have recorded infections e.g. *B. burgdorferi* and human granulocytic ehrlichiosis (HGE) in ticks removed from birds.<sup>36,37,87</sup> Ticks commonly infest a wide range of avian species, especially, sparrows (*Passeridae*), thrushes and other ground foraging birds.<sup>30,36,37,139,140</sup> Although a wide range of tick species has been reported to parasitize wild birds, *Ixodes* spp. are the most likely ones to carry infections (e.g. *B. burgdorferi*) especially in Europe and North America. Ixodid ticks often attach to hosts for 24–48 hours while acquiring a blood meal. In tick-borne viruses, bacteria, and protozoa, the infectious larval or nymphal tick may remain attached to the body of a migratory bird for several days and then deposited during migration in a new geographic area. During migration, there is sufficient time for some birds to travel hundreds or even a few thousand miles before ticks complete feeding and drop off. Even if these birds have small tick burdens, their large numbers could result in substantial contributions to local tick populations in coastal areas.<sup>40</sup> There is even evidence of transhemispheric exchange of spirochete-infected ticks by seabirds indicating the capacity for wild birds to carry infected ticks for long distances.<sup>141</sup> Moreover, birds can carry infections in their bloodstream which is introduced to local population of ticks at other sites. Therefore, birds play an important role not only in maintaining infections such as *B. burgdorferi sensu lato* in areas of endemicity, in addition some of them, through their migration, also play a role by spreading ticks within and between continents.<sup>36,139,142,143</sup>

Exposure to tick-borne diseases is primarily peridomestic, so the contribution to tick related human infection of avian ticks relative to mammalian ticks around dwellings is critical.<sup>38</sup> Birds that are implicated in peridomestic transmission of tick related infections to humans, especially in North America, include American robins (*Turdus migratorius*), northern cardinals (*Cardinalis cardinalis*), and song sparrows (*Melospiza melodia*) that frequently use backyard environments and some of which are commonly seen at bird feeders. Therefore, they are likely to drop engorged larvae in peridomestic environments like lawns and gardens,<sup>40</sup> where ticks are less common than in woods and at wood edges but more likely to encounter people.<sup>38,144</sup> Even though the survival of nymphs is low in open habitats, the contribution of birds to human infection in the peridomestic environment could be substantial and deserves further study.<sup>40</sup>

An additional factor is the physiologic stress that wild migratory birds suffer with migration, a risk factor for immunosuppression and increased susceptibility to infectious diseases. Avian species may exhibit an increased susceptibility to certain pathogens (e.g. *West Nile virus*) compared to other vertebrate groups.<sup>3,4</sup> Changes and

adaptations occur in migratory birds during long-distance migration.<sup>63</sup> For some birds, the stress of migration can lead to reactivation of otherwise latent infections.<sup>145</sup> *West Nile virus* was isolated from migrating birds that were under migratory stress.<sup>146</sup> However, an opposing argument is that infected migratory birds could not survive long-distance travel; thus their role in transmitting communicable diseases is of less importance.<sup>147</sup> For example, in the case of *avian influenza* most outbreaks in wild birds seem to reflect local acquisition of infection from a contaminated source, followed by rapid death nearby.<sup>148</sup> There is only limited evidence that some wild birds can carry the virus asymptomatically, and no evidence from wild bird outbreaks that they have done so over long distances during seasonal migration.<sup>148</sup>

Understanding the balance between the changes and adaptations that occur in migratory birds during long-distance migration is important to comprehend susceptibility of certain migratory birds to develop infections. Similar factors e.g. age and bird gender may in addition influence migratory patterns leading to spread of diseases in novel geographical areas.<sup>3</sup>

#### Factors relating to the implicated pathogen and the environment

Migrants of most bird species in the New World seldom use the same stopover sites on northward, spring migration as they do on southward, fall migration. This is because migration routes are determined by complex interactions of environmental factors such as direction of prevailing winds, weather patterns, location of available food resources and geographical barriers (e.g. large bodies of water, deserts and mountains). These factors seldom combine to favour the same route in different seasons.<sup>3</sup> Seasonality is a significant factor influencing both, wild birds (wild resident and migratory species) and other vectors e.g. mosquitoes, ticks leading to changes in transmission dynamics.<sup>149–151</sup> For mosquitoes, a spring population peak in Europe and North America occurs during the spring migration of birds.<sup>146–148</sup> The effect of seasonality in the flyway patterns of major migratory birds was observed for certain diseases such as *West Nile virus* encephalitis. The incidence of *West Nile virus* disease is seasonal in the temperate zones of North America, Europe, and the Mediterranean Basin, with peak activity from July through October.<sup>152</sup> Both avian and human infection rates drop to near zero as winter approaches and mosquitoes become dormant.<sup>153</sup> Season is important for some non-vector-borne pathogens, as well. For example, *influenza A* viruses remain infectious in water at lower ambient temperatures and at the same time major congregations of migratory waterfowl occur, increasing the likelihood of transmission among birds. Furthermore, numerous bird species (e.g. crows and gulls) are attracted to untreated sewage, garbage dumps, manure, and other sources of enteric pathogens that can then be transmitted to humans. These areas should be appropriately covered and not open to the access of wild migratory birds.

#### Migratory bird flyways and transmission

Long-distance migration is one of the most demanding activities in the animal world and several studies demonstrate

that such prolonged, intense exercise leads to immunosuppression exacerbating the possibility of spreading infections. On the other hand, infected symptomatic wild birds may act as vectors over shorter distances.<sup>154</sup> Understanding bird migration, avian migration patterns and infectious diseases of birds would be useful in helping to predict future outbreaks of infections due to emerging zoonotic pathogens and can provide important information that could explain the pattern of spread of certain infectious agents. Numerous variations in flyways exist. For some ocean migratory wild birds, a nomadic wandering that can appear random is probably related to poorly understood weather or ocean conditions.<sup>155,156</sup> Major migratory flyways, especially between continents are known to be used by migratory birds when commuting between breeding and wintering areas and vice versa. Nevertheless, these flyways are only used by a fraction of the existing species on the move, predominantly by waterfowl and soaring and gliding migrants like large raptors and storks which aggregate and follow fairly easily defined routes.

The complex overlapping of major flyways and the lack of information on migratory species potentially involved in the spread of disease make simple association of wild migratory flyways with outbreaks of certain infections extremely difficult despite the significant amount of literature on the subject. For example, in Alaska, there is a notable overlap between the Pacific and East Asia/Australasia flyways through which scientists believe avian-flu-infected migrating birds, such as the bar-tailed godwit (*Limosa lapponica*), dunlin (*Calidris alpina*), and red knot (*Calidris canutus*), will transfer the Asian strains of H5N1 influenza virus to North American birds over the next few months<sup>14</sup> although this was not confirmed in a recent study.<sup>157</sup> On the other hand, other more local migratory bird routes have been described in association with *West Nile virus* outbreaks.<sup>3</sup>

#### Societal factors

Furthermore, societal factors like captivity of wild birds in zoos and importation and sale of wild birds as pets should also be considered as important factors which can enhance the spread of pathogens from wild birds to humans. *Cryptosporidium* has been reported to be transmitted from some non-migratory birds in zoos to humans.<sup>122</sup> A theoretical similar risk for *avian influenza* exists as *avian influenza* was recently isolated from a wild swan in the Dresden zoo in Germany.<sup>157</sup> Similar risk can be encountered in bird parks since outbreaks of infections related to birds like psittacosis have occurred.<sup>17</sup> Finally, the international trade of exotic pet birds carrying influenza A viruses enhances the risk of worldwide dissemination of potentially virulent influenza A virus and may pose a serious health threat to humans.<sup>158</sup>

#### Limitations of the current literature review

There are several limitations of this work and clearly further work is necessary. Some of the identified agents are quite ubiquitous in the environment raising the question about how to quantify the additional impact wild resident

and migratory birds may have on transmission. There is still scientific debate over the actual role migratory birds might play in the transmission of certain communicable diseases. In support of this argument we did not find any evidence for direct transmission from wild and migratory birds to humans for any of the identified pathogens the only exception being the cluster of H5N1 human cases in Azerbaijan.<sup>16</sup> In addition, in many cases, there was no further available information that would allow further elucidation of the real epidemiological role played by wild birds in the ecology of the considered infections, especially in underdeveloped countries. Many reports do not exactly clarify how the birds are implicated in the transmission of these infections and in the majority of cases this transmission could not be established by adequate scientific methods. Thus, in many of the reports reviewed herein, there were no data regarding serologic assays or molecular diagnostic techniques used to detect and characterize pathogens and identify birds as vectors of disease. In these cases only associations of these infections with migratory birds could be made (Table 2).

The evidence reviewed herein suggests that many pathogens can infect multiple host bird species and that these pathogens in theory could be responsible for emerging infectious disease outbreaks in humans and wildlife. However, the ecologic and evolutionary factors that constrain or facilitate such emergences are poorly understood. In the literature, a different terminology is used to describe the interaction between hosts, including wild birds, and pathogens. Terms such as multihost pathogens, reservoir hosts, and spill-overs are frequently used, but often such different terms are used to describe the same phenomenon. There is a need for a single, standardised comprehensive framework that characterizes disease outcomes based on biologically meaningful processes. An example of such conceptual framework is based on the pathogen's between- and within-species transmission rates and can be used to describe possible configurations of a multihost-pathogen community.<sup>159</sup> In particular, the much-overused terms reservoir and spill-over can be seen to have explicit definitions, depending on whether the pathogen can be sustained within the target host population.<sup>159</sup> However, the paucity of available published data did not allow us to determine whether the involved species of certain wild birds serve as reservoir or spill-over. Finally, only few studies have reviewed the role of migratory birds in transmission of all different infections and these studies remain descriptive.<sup>112</sup>

Migratory birds cannot be blamed for recurrent outbreaks at the same geographical location over subsequent years unless there is an introduction of the pathogen to known or novel avian or other animal reservoir hosts and vectors. Furthermore, for some viruses that are considered to be transmitted by wild migratory birds (e.g. *West Nile virus*), duration of high levels of viremia for most species tested has been found to be limited and usually less than 24 hours. However, exceptions to that rule exist. The house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) has demonstrated WNV viremia of sufficient duration to indicate its ability to serve as a competent host for WNV.<sup>3</sup>

Furthermore, other modes of transmission such as the import of infected products may be of equal importance in

the spread of diseases like *avian influenza* and scientists are still debating the evidence of the role of migratory birds in the wide geographical spread of the influenza A (H5N1) virus. Highly pathogenic *avian influenza* viruses have been isolated rarely from wild birds and, apart from a single case in common terns in South Africa,<sup>160</sup> when they have, it has usually been in the vicinity of outbreaks of highly pathogenic *avian influenza* virus in poultry or geographically and chronologically close to known outbreaks in poultry. In fact the de novo generation of highly pathogenic *avian influenza* virus strains (restricted to subtypes H5 and H7) so far has been described to have occurred only in domestic poultry and the occurrence of highly pathogenic *avian influenza* viruses in wild birds is most likely the result from spill-overs from the poultry population.

Another important limitation is that there is no way to predict whether the comprehensive lists presented in this paper may expand in the near future. Moreover, the fact that a lot of the pathogens carried by wild and migratory birds that are presented in Table 2 have not been associated with human infection does not mean that these pathogens cannot cause human infection through routes presented for other pathogens in the same table.

## Future directions

Identifying links between environmental factors and infectious disease risk is essential to understanding how human-induced environmental changes will affect the dynamics of human and wildlife diseases. Studying large wetland areas, and by extension, intact wetland bird communities, may represent a valuable ecosystem-based approach for controlling infections caused by migratory birds including WNV.<sup>161</sup> Recent evaluations suggesting links between high biodiversity among wild birds and reduced vector-borne disease risk, such as WNV, may lead to a better understanding of distribution patterns of such diseases.<sup>48</sup> Recent findings on the origin of the WNV infections suggest a single species to act as a super spreader and the transmission of WNV appears in new light.<sup>162</sup> These recent findings demonstrate imposingly how important detailed studies on contact rates between vectors and host species are and how careful interpretations need to be made before drawing any conclusions. Estimation of the infection rate of wild bird populations with human pathogens or with other vectors carrying pathogens is clearly an indicated future challenge required to judge the possibilities of bird to human transmission of pathogens. The same accounts for the transmission between and within bird species. Recent investigations indicate the influence of social and sexual behaviours and their seasonal components on intra-specific transmission,<sup>163,164</sup> while the inter-specific transmission rate remains speculative. Birds are considered to show behavioural changes due to pathogen infection, which will considerably influence transmission rates.<sup>163</sup> Furthermore, accurate data on the speed and direction of migratory birds may enable us to predict the timing of bird migration in more detail; this will assist in monitoring the risk of infections that may be caused by wild birds. While this knowledge is available for larger bird species due to the use of satellite tracking, only limited data are available on the



individual level for some North American songbirds with the use of radio-telemetry tracking.<sup>165</sup> Producing maps depicting the ecology of the vectors including mosquitoes and ticks ecology in combination with maps of migratory routes of wild birds along with access to real time climatic data could be the key for developing a real time early warning system for forecasting vector-borne disease outbreaks.<sup>166</sup>

The spatial and temporal pattern of migration of wild birds as well the spatial distribution throughout the annual cycle can provide further insight. Application of stable isotope analysis has already resulted in new insights where bird populations spend the time between the seasonally reoccurring breeding events,<sup>167</sup> a knowledge which can be of great importance for future predictability of disease outbreaks.

Human medicine often does not delve deeply into the role of animals in the transmission of zoonotic agents and veterinary medicine does not cover the clinical aspects of human disease. However, to effectively and completely cover the area of infections associated with wild birds would require involvement of both physicians and veterinarians especially those dealing with avian species.<sup>168</sup> Unfortunately, one recent study demonstrated that communication between physicians and veterinarians about zoonotic diseases is largely absent.<sup>168</sup> Therefore, one important factor that could potentially explain the paucity of available data regarding the transmission of pathogens from wild birds to humans could be the lack of communication between physicians and ornithologists. To most effectively decrease the risk of infections associated with wild birds, the public health and animal health sectors must collaborate in developing strategies to decrease human exposure to pathogens carried by wild birds.

An effective public educational campaign could also put in perspective and clarify myths and realities about the risk of acquiring infections associated with wild birds. Activities near geographical areas with extensive wild bird activity really carry minimal risk especially if people take personal protective measures for high risk activities such as handling dead wild waterfowl. Normal behaviour that complies with general hygienic standards should suffice.

## Conclusions

We attempted to summarize the published scientific evidence regarding the direct and indirect roles of wild birds in transmission of certain infections to humans. Although we could not fully define this role and it appears that further research is necessary, several conclusions can be made. First, there is no real evidence for direct wild bird–human transmission besides rare examples occurring under exceptional circumstances. Several human infections can theoretically be transmitted from wild and migratory birds although the scientific base for most of the associations remains speculative. These findings are expected for zoonoses, which usually require the amplification in an animal species cycle before spill-over to humans. Wild and migrant birds are most important in seeding pathogens into these amplification systems. This explains why most of the association with transmission from bird to human may only occur indirectly. On the other hand, there is strong

evidence for the dispersal of pathogens to new geographical locations by migrating birds but it is largely unknown how this will affect transmission to humans. The recent emergence of infections like *West Nile virus* and *influenza A* in various parts of the world is a prominent example of how rapidly and widely a migratory bird associated disease can spread. The potential factors and mechanisms involved in the transmission of such infectious agents from birds to humans need further elucidation. An in-depth comprehension of avian migration routes as well as further research using advanced molecular testing of the prevalence, pathogenesis, and clinical associations of several pathogens that are transmitted to humans from the various migratory bird species would lead to a better understanding of the transmission dynamics of diseases carried by avian species helping to predict future outbreaks of relevant human infections.

## Conflict of interest

None.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2007.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2007.11.001).

## References

1. Nuttall PA. Viruses, bacteria, and fungi of birds. In: Clayton DH, Moore J, editors. *Host–parasite evolution*. Oxford, UK: University Press; 1997. p. 271–302.
2. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. List of NIAID emerging and reemerging diseases. Available from: <http://www.niaid.nih.gov/dmid/eid/erd.htm>; 2002 [10/03/2002].
3. Rappole JH, Hubalek Z. Migratory birds and West Nile virus. *J Appl Microbiol* 2003;**94**(Suppl.):47S–58S.
4. Peterson AT, Vieglaes DA, Andreasen JK. Migratory birds modeled as critical transport agents for West Nile virus in North America. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2003;**3**:27–37.
5. Qiu J. Ornithology: flight of the navigators. *Nature* 2005;**437**:804–6.
6. Kilpatrick AM, Chmura AA, Gibbons DW, Fleischer RC, Marra PP, Daszak P. Predicting the global spread of H5N1 avian influenza. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;**103**:19368–73.
7. Avian influenza goes global, but don't blame the birds. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2006;**6**:185.
8. Kissling RE, Stamm DD, Chamberlain RW, Sudia WD. Birds as winter hosts for eastern and western equine encephalomyelitis viruses. *Am J Hyg* 1957;**66**:42–7.
9. Stamm DD, Newman RJ. Evidence of southward transport of arboviruses from the U.S. by migratory birds. *Ann Microbiol* 1963;**11**:123–33.
10. "British Ornithologists" Union (BOU). Available from: <http://www.bou.org.uk/recgen.html>; 2006.
11. Avibase: The world bird database. Available from: <http://www.bsc-eoc.org/avibase/avibase.jsp>; 2007.
12. Sibley C, Monroe B. *Distribution and taxonomy of birds of the world*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press; 1990. p. 1111.

13. The Sibley and Monroe classification. Available from: <[www.ornitaxa.com/SM/SMOrg/sibley2.html](http://www.ornitaxa.com/SM/SMOrg/sibley2.html)>; 2007.
14. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Avian influenza infection in humans. Available from: <<http://www.cdc.gov/flu/avian/index.htm>>; 2006.
15. World Health Organization (WHO). Avian influenza: timeline. Available from: <[http://www.who.int/csr/disease/avian\\_influenza/timeline.pdf](http://www.who.int/csr/disease/avian_influenza/timeline.pdf)>; 2006.
16. Avian influenza – situation in Azerbaijan – update 3. Available from: <[http://www.who.int/csr/don/2006\\_04\\_11/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/csr/don/2006_04_11/en/index.html)>; 2006.
17. Matsui T, Nakashima K, Ohyama T, Kobayashi J, Arima Y, Kishimoto T, et al. An outbreak of psittacosis in a bird park in Japan. *Epidemiol Infect* 2007;1–4.
18. Schettler E, Fickel J, Hotzel H, et al. Newcastle disease virus and *Chlamydia psittaci* in free-living raptors from eastern Germany. *J Wildl Dis* 2003;39:57–63.
19. Padilla LR, Santiago-Alarcon D, Merkel J, Miller RE, Parker PG. Survey for *Haemoproteus* spp., *Trichomonas gallinae*, *Chlamydia psittaci*, and *Salmonella* spp. in Galapagos Islands columbiformes. *J Zoo Wildl Med* 2004;35:60–4.
20. Pospisil L, Veznik Z, Hirt M, Svecova D, Diblikova I, Pejcoch M. Detection of *chlamydia* in the intestines and lungs in pigeons and humans. *Epidemiol Mikrobiol Immunol* 1996;45:123–6.
21. Kaleta EF, Taday EM. Avian host range of *Chlamydia* spp. based on isolation, antigen detection and serology. *Avian Pathol* 2003;32:435–61.
22. Smith KA, Bradley KK, Stobierski MG, Tengelsen LA. Compendium of measures to control *Chlamydia psittaci* (formerly *Chlamydia psittaci*) infection among humans (psittacosis) and pet birds. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2005;226:532–9.
23. Wallace JS, Cheasty T, Jones K. Isolation of vero cytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 from wild birds. *J Appl Microbiol* 1997;82:399–404.
24. Ejidokun OO, Walsh A, Barnett J, Hope Y, Ellis S, Sharp MW, et al. Human Vero cytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) O157 infection linked to birds. *Epidemiol Infect* 2006;134:421–3.
25. Makino S, Kobori H, Asakura H, Watarai M, Shirahata T, Ikeda T, et al. Detection and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from seagulls. *Epidemiol Infect* 2000;125:55–61.
26. Samadpour M, Stewart J, Steingart K, Addy C, Louderback J, McGinn M, et al. Laboratory investigation of an *E. coli* O157: H7 outbreak associated with swimming in Battle Ground Lake, Vancouver, Washington. *J Environ Health* 2002;64:16–20, 25, 26.
27. Penfold JB, Amery HC, Peet PJ. Gastroenteritis associated with wild birds in a hospital kitchen. *Br Med J* 1979;2:802.
28. Kapperud G, Stenwig H, Lassen J. Epidemiology of *Salmonella typhimurium* O:4-12 infection in Norway: evidence of transmission from an avian wildlife reservoir. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998;147:774–82.
29. Thornley CN, Simmons GC, Callaghan ML, Nicol CM, Baker MG, Gilmore KS, et al. First incursion of *Salmonella enterica* serotype typhimurium DT160 into New Zealand. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2003;9:493–5.
30. Reed KD, Meece JK, Henkel JS, Shukla SK. Birds, migration and emerging zoonoses: west Nile virus, Lyme disease, influenza A and enteropathogens. *Clin Med Res* 2003;1:5–12.
31. Cumberworth VL, Robinson AC. *Mycobacterium avium*-intra-cellular cervical lymphadenitis in siblings: a case report and review. *J Laryngol Otol* 1995;109:70–1.
32. Meissner G, Anz W. Sources of *Mycobacterium avium* complex infection resulting in human diseases. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1977;116:1057–64.
33. Thegerstrom J, Marklund BI, Hoffner S, Axelsson-Olsson D, Kauppinen J, Olsen B. *Mycobacterium avium* with the bird type IS1245 RFLP profile is commonly found in wild and domestic animals, but rarely in humans. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2005;37:15–20.
34. Hayman JA. A hypothesis refuted: *Mycobacterium ulcerans* is of recent evolution. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2005;5:327–8.
35. Daszak P, Cunningham AA, Hyatt AD. Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife—threats to biodiversity and human health. *Science* 2000;287:443–9.
36. Rand PW, Lacombe EH, Smith Jr RP, Ficker J. Participation of birds (Aves) in the emergence of Lyme disease in southern Maine. *J Med Entomol* 1998;35:270–6.
37. Weisbrod AR, Johnson RC. Lyme disease and migrating birds in the Saint Croix River Valley. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1989;55:1921–4.
38. Maupin GO, Fish D, Zultowsky J, Campos EG, Piesman J. Landscape ecology of Lyme disease in a residential area of Westchester County, New York. *Am J Epidemiol* 1991;133:1105–13.
39. Humair PF. Birds and *Borrelia*. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2002;291(Suppl. 33):70–4.
40. Ginsberg HS, Buckley PA, Balmforth MG, Zhioua E, Mitra S, Buckley FG. Reservoir competence of native North American birds for the Lyme disease spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *J Med Entomol* 2005;42:445–9.
41. Marie-Angele P, Lommano E, Humair PF, Douet V, Rais O, Schaad M, et al. Prevalence of *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato* in ticks collected from migratory birds in Switzerland. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006;72:976–9.
42. Fessel WJ. Cryptococcal meningitis after unusual exposures to birds. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:1354–5.
43. Malik R, Krockenberger MB, Cross G, Doneley R, Madill DN, Black D, et al. Avian cryptococcosis. *Med Mycol* 2003;41:115–24.
44. Decostere A, Hermans K, De Baere T, Pasmans F, Haesebrouck F. First report on *Cryptococcus laurentii* associated with feather loss in a glossy starling (*Lamprolornis chalybaeus*). *Avian Pathol* 2003;32:309–11.
45. Raso TF, Werther K, Miranda ET, Mendes-Giannini MJ. Cryptococcosis outbreak in psittacine birds in Brazil. *Med Mycol* 2004;42:355–62.
46. Tinteln K, Losert H. Isolation of *Cryptococcus adeliensis* from clinical samples and the environment in Germany. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005;43:1007.
47. Dupuis AP, Marra PP, Kramer LD. Serologic evidence of West Nile virus transmission, Jamaica, West Indies. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2003;9:860–3.
48. Ezenwa VO, Godsey MS, King RJ, Guptill SC. Avian diversity and West Nile virus: testing associations between biodiversity and infectious disease risk. *Proc Biol Sci* 2006;273:109–17.
49. Reisen WK, Martinez VM, Fang Y, Garcia S, Ashtari S, Wheeler SS, et al. Role of California (*Callipepla californica*) and Gambel's (*Callipepla gambelii*) quail in the ecology of mosquito-borne encephalitis viruses in California, USA. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2006;6:248–60.
50. Kramer LD, Presser SB, Hardy JL, Jackson AO. Genotypic and phenotypic variation of selected Saint Louis encephalitis viral strains isolated in California. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1997;57:222–9.
51. Shaman J, Day JF, Stieglitz M. St. Louis encephalitis virus in wild birds during the 1990 south Florida epidemic: the importance of drought, wetting conditions, and the emergence of *Culex nigripalpus* (Diptera: Culicidae) to arboviral amplification and transmission. *J Med Entomol* 2003;40:547–54.
52. Koopmans M, Wilbrink B, Conyn M, Natrop G, van der Nat H, Vennema H, et al. Transmission of H7N7 avian influenza A virus to human beings during a large outbreak in commercial poultry farms in the Netherlands. *Lancet* 2004;363:587–93.



53. Report of the Scientific Panel on Influenza in reply to eight questions concerning avian flu. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control Stockholm; June 5, 2006.
54. Leschnik M, Weikel J, Mostl K, Revilla-Fernandez S, Wodak E, Bago Z, et al. Subclinical infection with avian influenza A (H5N1) virus in cats. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007;13:243–7.
55. USGS National Wildlife Health Center. Wildlife Health Bulletin #05-03. Available from: <[http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/research/WHB/WHB\\_05\\_03.html](http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/research/WHB/WHB_05_03.html)>; 2006.
56. Gill JS, Webby R, Gilchrist MJ, Gray GC. Avian influenza among waterfowl hunters and wildlife professionals. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006;12:1284–6.
57. de Jong MD, Hien TT. Avian influenza A (H5N1). *J Clin Virol* 2006;35:2–13.
58. de Jong MD, Bach VC, Phan TQ, Vo MH, Tran TT, Nguyen BH, et al. Fatal avian influenza A (H5N1) in a child presenting with diarrhea followed by coma. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:686–91.
59. Myers KP, Setterquist SF, Capuano AW, Gray GC. Infection due to 3 avian influenza subtypes in United States veterinarians. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;45:4–9.
60. Beigel JH, Farrar J, Han AM, Hayden FG, Hyer R, de Jong MD, et al. Avian influenza A (H5N1) infection in humans. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:1374–85.
61. De Jong JC, Rimmelzwaan GF, Fouchier RA, Osterhaus AD. Influenza virus: a master of metamorphosis. *J Infect* 2000;40:218–28.
62. Olofsson S, Kumlin U, Dimock K, Arnberg N. Avian influenza and sialic acid receptors: more than meets the eye? *Lancet Infect Dis* 2005;5:184–8.
63. Piersma T, Perez-Tris J, Mouritsen H, Bauchinger U, Bairlein F. Is there a “migratory syndrome” common to all migrant birds? *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2005;1046:282–93.
64. Chen H, Smith GJ, Li KS, Wang J, Fan XH, Rayner JM, et al. Establishment of multiple sublineages of H5N1 influenza virus in Asia: Implications for pandemic control. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;103:2845–50.
65. Chen H, Smith GJ, Zhang SY, Qin K, Wang J, Li KS, et al. Avian flu: H5N1 virus outbreak in migratory waterfowl. *Nature* 2005;436:191–2.
66. Hampton T. Avian flu researchers make strides. *JAMA* 2006;295:1107–8.
67. Sellin M, Palmgren H, Broman T, Bergstrom S, Olsen B. Involving ornithologists in the surveillance of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2000;6:87–8.
68. Grant SB, Sanders BF, Boehm AB, Redman JA, Kim JH, Mrse RD, et al. Generation of enterococci bacteria in a coastal saltwater marsh and its impact on surf zone water quality. *Environ Sci Technol* 2001;35:2407–16.
69. van den Bogaard AE, Jensen LB, Stobberingh EE. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci in turkeys and farmers. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:1558–9.
70. Stobberingh E, van den BA, London N, Driessen C, Top J, Willems R. Enterococci with glycopeptide resistance in turkeys, turkey farmers, turkey slaughterers, and (sub)urban residents in the south of The Netherlands: evidence for transmission of vancomycin resistance from animals to humans? *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;43:2215–21.
71. Das I, Fraise A, Wise R. Are glycopeptide-resistant enterococci in animals a threat to human beings? *Lancet* 1997;349:997–8.
72. Levesque B, Brousseau P, Bernier F, Dewailly E, Joly J. Study of the bacterial content of ring-billed gull droppings in relation to recreational water quality. *Water Res* 2000;34:1089–96.
73. Abulreesh HH, Paget TA, Goulder R. Waterfowl and the bacteriological quality of amenity ponds. *J Water Health* 2004;2:183–9.
74. Van Immerseel F, De Buck J, Pasmans F, Huyghebaert G, Haesebrouck F, Ducatelle R. *Clostridium perfringens* in poultry: an emerging threat for animal and public health. *Avian Pathol* 2004;33:537–49.
75. Quessy S, Messier S. Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp., *Campylobacter* spp. and *Listeria* spp. in ring-billed gulls (*Larus delawarensis*). *J Wildl Dis* 1992;28:526–31.
76. Fukushima H, Gomyoda M. Intestinal carriage of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* by wild birds and mammals in Japan. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1991;57:1152–5.
77. Broman T, Palmgren H, Bergstrom S, Sellin M, Waldenstrom J, Danielsson-Tham ML, et al. *Campylobacter jejuni* in black-headed gulls (*Larus ridibundus*): prevalence, genotypes, and influence on *C. jejuni* epidemiology. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002;40:4594–602.
78. Savill M, Hudson A, Devane M, Garrett N, Gilpin B, Ball A. Elucidation of potential transmission routes of *Campylobacter* in New Zealand. *Water Sci Technol* 2003;47:33–8.
79. Abulreesh HH, Paget TA, Goulder R. *Campylobacter* in waterfowl and aquatic environments: incidence and methods of detection. *Environ Sci Technol* 2006;40:7122–31.
80. Fox JG, Chien CC, Dewhirst FE, Paster BJ, Shen Z, Melito PL, et al. *Helicobacter canadensis* sp. nov. isolated from humans with diarrhea as an example of an emerging pathogen. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000;38:2546–9.
81. Waldenstrom J, On SL, Ottvall R, Hasselquist D, Harrington CS, Olsen B. Avian reservoirs and zoonotic potential of the emerging human pathogen *Helicobacter canadensis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2003;69:7523–6.
82. Seymour C, Lewis RG, Kim M, Gagnon DF, Fox JG, Dewhirst FE, et al. Isolation of *Helicobacter* strains from wild bird and swine feces. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1994;60:1025–8.
83. Feare CJ, Sanders MF, Blasco R, Bishop JD. Canada goose (*Branta canadensis*) droppings as a potential source of pathogenic bacteria. *J R Soc Health* 1999;119:146–55.
84. Boehm AB, Fuhrman JA, Mrse RD, Grant SB. Tiered approach for identification of a human fecal pollution source at a recreational beach: case study at Avalon Bay, Catalina Island, California. *Environ Sci Technol* 2003;37:673–80.
85. Bjoersdorff A, Bergstrom S, Massung RF, Haemig PD, Olsen B. *Ehrlichia*-infected ticks on migrating birds. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2001;7:877–9.
86. Alekseev AN, Dubinina HV, Semenov AV, Bolshakov CV. Evidence of ehrlichiosis agents found in ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) collected from migratory birds. *J Med Entomol* 2001;38:471–4.
87. Daniels TJ, Battaly GR, Liveris D, Falco RC, Schwartz I. Avian reservoirs of the agent of human granulocytic ehrlichiosis? *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002;8:1524–5.
88. Steinmetz HW, Rutz C, Hoop RK, Grest P, Bley CR, Hatt JM. Possible human–avian transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in a green-winged macaw (*Ara chloroptera*). *Avian Dis* 2006;50:641–5.
89. Washko RM, Hoefer H, Kiehn TE, Armstrong D, Dorsinville G, Frieden TR. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection in a green-winged macaw (*Ara chloroptera*): report with public health implications. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998;36:1101–2.
90. Bashiribod H. The presence of Q-fever antibodies in Teheran’s pigeons (*Columba domestica*). *Geogr Med* 1989;5(Suppl.):211–2.
91. Kocianova E, Rehacek J, Lisak V. Transmission of antibodies to *Chlamydia psittaci* and *Coxiella burnetii* through eggs and “crop milk” in pigeons. *Eur J Epidemiol* 1993;9:209–12.
92. Ogg JE, Ryder RA, Smith Jr HL. Isolation of *Vibrio cholerae* from aquatic birds in Colorado and Utah. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1989;55:95–9.
93. Soomro AL, Junejo N. *Vibrio cholerae* in the environment. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2004;14:509–12.
94. Shepherd AJ, Swanepoel R, Leman PA, Shepherd SP. Field and laboratory investigation of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic

- fever virus (Nairovirus, family Bunyaviridae) infection in birds. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1987;81:1004–7.
95. Swanepoel R, Leman PA, Burt FJ, Jardine J, Verwoerd DJ, Capua I, et al. Experimental infection of ostriches with Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus. *Epidemiol Infect* 1998;121:427–32.
96. Pedersen KA, Sadasiv EC, Chang PW, Yates VJ. Detection of antibody to avian viruses in human populations. *Epidemiol Infect* 1990;104:519–25.
97. Solomon T, Kneen R, Dung NM, et al. Poliomyelitis-like illness due to Japanese encephalitis virus. *Lancet* 1998;351:1094–7.
98. Endy TP, Nisalak A. Japanese encephalitis virus: ecology and epidemiology. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2002;267:11–48.
99. Hanna JN, Ritchie SA, Phillips DA, Shield J, Bailey MC, Mackenzie JS, et al. An outbreak of Japanese encephalitis in the Torres Strait, Australia, 1995. *Med J Aust* 1996;165:256–60.
100. Russell RC, Dwyer DE. Arboviruses associated with human disease in Australia. *Microbes Infect* 2000;2:1693–704.
101. Kienle N, Boyes L. Murray Valley encephalitis: case report and review of neuroradiological features. *Australas Radiol* 2003;47:61–3.
102. Shirako Y, Niklasson B, Dalrymple JM, Strauss EG, Strauss JH. Structure of the Ockelbo virus genome and its relationship to other Sindbis viruses. *Virology* 1991;182:753–64.
103. Francy DB, Jaenson TG, Lundstrom JO, Schildt EB, Espmark A, Henriksson B, et al. Ecologic studies of mosquitoes and birds as hosts of Ockelbo virus in Sweden and isolation of Inkoo and Batai viruses from mosquitoes. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1989;41:355–63.
104. Brummer-Korvenkontio M, Vapalahti O, Kuusisto P, Saikku P, Manni T, Koskela P, et al. Epidemiology of Sindbis virus infections in Finland 1981–96: possible factors explaining a peculiar disease pattern. *Epidemiol Infect* 2002;129:335–45.
105. van Tongeren HA. Viraemia and antibody response of the mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) to infection with tick-borne encephalitis virus. *J Comp Pathol* 1983;93:521–30.
106. Korenberg EI, Pchelkina AA, Kovalevsky JV. Contact of birds with tick-borne encephalitis virus in the eastern part of the Russian plain. *J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol* 1984;28:65–72.
107. Hudson PJ, Norman R, Laurenson MK, Newborn D, Gaunt M, Jones L, et al. Persistence and transmission of tick-borne viruses: *Ixodes ricinus* and louping-ill virus in red grouse populations. *Parasitology* 1995;111(Suppl.):S49–58.
108. Gilbert L, Jones LD, Laurenson MK, Gould EA, Reid HW, Hudson PJ. Ticks need not bite their red grouse hosts to infect them with louping ill virus. *Proc Biol Sci* 2004;271(Suppl. 4):S202–5.
109. McHatters GR, Scham RG. Bird viruses in multiple sclerosis: combination of viruses or Marek's alone? *Neurosci Lett* 1995;188:75–6.
110. MacGregor HS, Latiwong QI. Complex role of gamma-herpesviruses in multiple sclerosis and infectious mononucleosis. *Neurol Res* 1993;15:391–4.
111. Hlinak A, Muller T, Kramer M, Muhle RU, Lieberr H, Ziedler K. Serological survey of viral pathogens in bean and white-fronted geese from Germany. *J Wildl Dis* 1998;34:479–86.
112. Hubalek Z. An annotated checklist of pathogenic microorganisms associated with migratory birds. *J Wildl Dis* 2004;40:639–59.
113. Danes L, Jaresova I, Lim D, Jelinek F. Elimination of some enteroviruses in the excrements of experimentally infected rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) and gulls (*Larus ridibundus*). *J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol* 1984;28:309–18.
114. van der HL. The history of avian reovirus. *Avian Dis* 2000;44:638–41.
115. Jones RC. Avian reovirus infections. *Rev Sci Tech* 2000;19:614–25.
116. Mori Y, Sugiyama M, Takayama M, Atoji Y, Masegi T, Minamoto N. Avian-to-mammal transmission of an avian rotavirus: analysis of its pathogenicity in a heterologous mouse model. *Virology* 2001;288:63–70.
117. Smith CE. Factors influencing the transmission of western equine encephalomyelitis virus between its vertebrate maintenance hosts and from them to humans. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1987;37:335–95.
118. Hardy JL. The ecology of western equine encephalomyelitis virus in the Central Valley of California, 1945–1985. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1987;37:185–325.
119. Dickerman RW, Martin MS, Dipaola EA. Studies of Venezuelan encephalitis in migrating birds in relation to possible transport of virus from South to Central America. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1980;29:269–76.
120. Sabbatini MS, Monath TP, Mitchell CJ, Daffner JF, Bowen GS, Pauli R, et al. Arbovirus investigations in Argentina, 1977–1980. I. Historical aspects and description of study sites. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1985;34:937–44.
121. Graczyk TK, Fayer R, Trout JM, Lewis EJ, Farley CA, Sulaiman I, et al. *Giardia* sp. cysts and infectious *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in the feces of migratory Canada geese (*Branta canadensis*). *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1998;64:2736–8.
122. Rohela M, Lim YA, Jamaiah I, Khadijah PY, Laang ST, Nazri MH, et al. Occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in Wrinkled Hornbill and other birds in the Kuala Lumpur National Zoo. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2005;36(Suppl. 4):34–40.
123. Cross JH. Intestinal capillariasis. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1992;5:120–9.
124. Nithiuthai S, Anantaphruti MT, Waikagul J, Gajadhar A. Waterborne zoonotic helminthiasis. *Vet Parasitol* 2004;126:167–93.
125. Fayer R. *Sarcocystis* spp. in human infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004;17:894–902.
126. Rappole JH, Derrickson SR, Hubalek Z. Migratory birds and spread of West Nile virus in the Western Hemisphere. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2000;6:319–28.
127. Kocabiyyik AL, Cangul IT, Alasonyalilar A, Dedicova D, Karpiskova R. Isolation of *Salmonella Enteritidis* phage type 21b from a Eurasian eagle-owl (*Bubo bubo*). *J Wildl Dis* 2006;42:696–8.
128. Niskanen T, Waldenstrom J, Fredriksson-Ahomaa M, Olsen B, Korkeala H. virF-positive *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and *Yersinia enterocolitica* found in migratory birds in Sweden. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2003;69:4670–5.
129. Buck JD. Isolation of *Candida albicans* and halophilic *Vibrio* spp. from aquatic birds in Connecticut and Florida. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1990;56:826–8.
130. Buck JD. A note on the experimental uptake and clearance of *Candida albicans* in a young captive gull (*Larus* sp.). *Mycopathologia* 1986;94:59–61.
131. Olsen B, Munster VJ, Wallensten A, Waldenstrom J, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. Global patterns of influenza A virus in wild birds. *Science* 2006;312:384–8.
132. Kuiken T, Holmes EC, McCauley J, Rimmelzwaan GF, Williams CS, Grenfell BT. Host species barriers to influenza virus infections. *Science* 2006;312:394–7.
133. Report of the scientific panel on influenza in reply to eight questions concerning avian flu. Scientific Panel on Influenza Report; June 5, 2006. Available from: <[www.ecdc.eu.int](http://www.ecdc.eu.int)>.
134. Swayne DE. Occupational and consumer risks from avian influenza viruses. *Dev Biol (Basel)* 2006;124:85–90.
135. Gaunt AS, Hikida RS, Jehl JR, Fenbert L. Rapid atrophy and hypertrophy of an avian flight muscle. *Auk* 1990;107:649–59.
136. Jehl JR. Cyclical changes in body composition in the annual cycle and migration of the Eared Grebe *Podiceps nigricollis*. *J Avian Biol* 1997;28:132–42.

137. Biebach H, Biebach I, Friedrich W. Strategies of passerine migration across the Mediterranean Sea and the Sahara Desert: a radar study. *IBIS* 2000;142:623–34.
138. Ehrlich PR, Dobkin DS, Wheye D. *The birder's handbook: a field guide to the natural history of North American birds*. New York: Simon and Schuster Inc; 1988.
139. Scott JD, Fernando K, Banerjee SN, Durden LA, Byrne SK, Banerjee M, et al. Birds disperse ixodid (Acari: Ixodidae) and *Borrelia burgdorferi*-infected ticks in Canada. *J Med Entomol* 2001;38:493–500.
140. Olsen B, Jaenson TG, Bergstrom S. Prevalence of *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato*-infected ticks on migrating birds. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1995;61:3082–7.
141. Olsen B, Duffy DC, Jaenson TG, Gylfe A, Bonnedahl J, Bergstrom S. Transhemispheric exchange of Lyme disease spirochetes by seabirds. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995;33:3270–4.
142. Ishiguro F, Takada N, Masuzawa T, Fukui T. Prevalence of Lyme disease *Borrelia* spp. in ticks from migratory birds on the Japanese mainland. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2000;66:982–6.
143. Smith Jr RP, Rand PW, Lacombe EH, Morris SR, Holmes DW, Caporale DA. Role of bird migration in the long-distance dispersal of *Ixodes dammini*, the vector of Lyme disease. *J Infect Dis* 1996;174:221–4.
144. Carroll MC, Ginsberg HS, Hyland KE, Hu R. Distribution of *Ixodes dammini* (Acari: Ixodidae) in residential lawns on Prudence Island, Rhode Island. *J Med Entomol* 1992;29:1052–5.
145. Gylfe A, Bergstrom S, Lundstrom J, Olsen B. Reactivation of *Borrelia* infection in birds. *Nature* 2000;403:724–5.
146. Malkinson M, Banet C, Weisman Y, Pokamunski S, King R, Drouet MT, et al. Introduction of West Nile virus in the Middle East by migrating white storks. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002;8:392–7.
147. Normile D. Avian influenza. Are wild birds to blame? *Science* 2005;310:426–8.
148. Feare CJ. The role of wild birds in the spread of HPAI H5N1. *Avian Dis* 2007;51:440–7.
149. Gill JA, Norris K, Potts PM, Gunnarsson TG, Atkinson PW, Sutherland WJ. The buffer effect and large-scale population regulation in migratory birds. *Nature* 2001;412:436–8.
150. Marra PP, Hobson KA, Holmes RT. Linking winter and summer events in a migratory bird by using stable-carbon isotopes. *Science* 1998;282:1884–6.
151. Both C, Visser ME. Adjustment to climate change is constrained by arrival date in a long-distance migrant bird. *Nature* 2001;411:296–8.
152. Zeller HG, Schuffenecker I. West Nile virus: an overview of its spread in Europe and the Mediterranean basin in contrast to its spread in the Americas. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2004;23:147–56.
153. Hubalek Z, Halouzka J. West Nile fever – a reemerging mosquito-borne viral disease in Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1999;5:643–50.
154. Weber TP, Stilianakis NI. Ecologic immunology of avian influenza (H5N1) in migratory birds. *Emerg Infect Dis* (8). Available from: <<http://www.cdc.gov/EID/content/13/8/1139.htm>>, 2007;13.
155. Winker K, McCracken KG, Gibson DD, Pruett CL, Meier R, Huettmann F, et al. Movements of birds and avian influenza from Asia into Alaska. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007;13:547–52.
156. Lincoln FC, Peterson SR, Zimmerman JL. *Migration of birds. Circular 16*. Washington DC: U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 1998.
157. ECDC. October 2006: Influenza surveillance and risk monitoring. Available from: <[http://www.ecdc.eu.int/Influenza/update\\_Influenza\\_060817\\_pdf.php](http://www.ecdc.eu.int/Influenza/update_Influenza_060817_pdf.php)> (1 of 10) 2006.
158. Mase M, Imada T, Sanada Y, Etoh M, Sanada N, Tsukamoto K, et al. Imported parakeets harbor H9N2 influenza A viruses that are genetically closely related to those transmitted to humans in Hong Kong. *J Virol* 2001;75:3490–4.
159. Fenton A, Pedersen AB. Community epidemiology framework for classifying disease threats. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005;11:1815–21.
160. Becker WB. The isolation and classification of Tern virus: influenza A-Tern South Africa–1961. *J Hyg (Lond)* 1966;64:309–20.
161. Ezenwa VO, Milheim LE, Coffey MF, Godsey MS, King RJ, Guptill SC. Land cover variation and West Nile virus prevalence: patterns, processes, and implications for disease control. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2007;7:173–80.
162. Kilpatrick AM, Daszak P, Jones MJ, Marra PP, Kramer LD. Host heterogeneity dominates West Nile virus transmission. *Proc Biol Sci* 2006;273:2327–33.
163. Faustino CR, Jennelle CS, Connolly V, Davis AK, Swarthout EC, Dhondt A, et al. *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection dynamics in a house finch population: seasonal variation in survival, encounter and transmission rate. *J Anim Ecol* 2007;73:651–69.
164. Kulkarni S, Heeb P. Social and sexual behaviours aid transmission of bacteria in birds. *Behav Processes* 2007;74:88–92.
165. Wikelski M, Tarlow EM, Raim A, Diehl RH, Larkin RP, Visser GH. Avian metabolism: costs of migration in free-flying songbirds. *Nature* 2003;423:704.
166. Tachiiri K, Klinkenberg B, Mak S, Kazmi J. Predicting outbreaks: a spatial risk assessment of West Nile virus in British Columbia. *Int J Health Geogr* 2006;5:21.
167. Hobson KA. Stable isotopes and the determination of avian migratory connectivity and seasonal interactions. *Auk* 2005;122:1037–48.
168. Grant S, Olsen CW. Preventing zoonotic diseases in immunocompromised persons: the role of physicians and veterinarians. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1999;5:159–63.